

Presenter: _____

Evaluator: _____

Inquiry Lesson * Scoring Rubric

* As opposed to a series of interactive lecture demonstrations or an inquiry lab. See [Levels of inquiry: Hierarchies of pedagogical practices and inquiry processes](#). *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 2(3), February 2005, pp. 3-11, for details.

Copyright 2007 Illinois State University Physics Teaching Program

Adapted in part from *Common Components Shared by Instructional Models* (Table 2-7 of *Inquiry and the NSES*) and *Essential Features of Classroom Inquiry* (Table 2-6 of *Inquiry & the NSES*). See Chapter 2 of *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards* for complete details.)

The teacher:	Accomplished (4)	Proficient (3)	Developing (2)	Poor (1)	Score
(Component 1) promotes student thinking and critical questioning.	<u>More student talk than teacher talk</u> ; presents students with an interesting and pertinent physical phenomenon that elicits student questions; uses appropriate questioning skills such as wait time and varying levels of critical thinking skills; makes student thinking clear; uses follow-up questions.	<u>Roughly equal amounts of student talk and teacher talk</u> ; presents students with an interesting and pertinent physical phenomenon that elicits student attention; uses appropriate questioning skills such as wait time and varying levels of critical thinking skills; makes student thinking clear; uses follow-up questions.	<u>More teacher talk than student talk</u> ; presents students with a pertinent physical phenomenon in supposedly and interesting fashion, but it fails to stimulate student interest or attention; does not appear to fully understand what interests students.	<u>Essentially all teacher talk</u> ; presents one-sided demonstrations if at all; does not promote student thinking and critical questioning; lesson not engaging to students.	
(Component 2) engenders debate and discussion among students.	<u>Lots of pertinent student-on-student interaction</u> ; teacher uses active questioning, interest of students, and mystery of the eliciting phenomenon to promote discussion and debate among the students; students are actively engaged; deflects student questions to others.	<u>Moderate amount of pertinent student-on-student interaction</u> ; missed opportunities for debate and discussion; but deflects most questions; students appear to be engaged most of the time.	<u>Small amount of pertinent student-on-student interaction</u> ; solicits discussion and debate among the students, but doesn't appear to know how to achieve active questioning, and raise interest of students using various phenomena.	<u>No student-on-student interaction that is pertinent</u> ; only student-on-student talk appears to be off topic; all teacher-student conversation; teacher tends to dominate what little discussion there is.	
(Component 3) focuses on one or two major questions as the guide to inquiry.	<u>Student attention focused on finding answers to one or two guiding questions</u> ; appears to have a clear understanding of inquiry processes and the nature of science; focuses on depth of understanding; emphasizes knowledge over belief.	<u>Student attention focused on finding answers to three or more guiding questions</u> ; appears to be overly concerned with covering content; moves too quickly reducing time for inquiry; much emphasis on breadth.	<u>Focuses student attention on too many questions at a cost to deeper understanding</u> ; does employ limited inquiry processes to find answers; strong support for the belief that content more than process drives lesson.	<u>Appears to believe that inquiry consists entirely of asking lots of questions</u> ; does not employ the inquiry process; seems to value memorization; does not seem to understand that knowledge is constructed from experience.	
(Component 4) provides a variety of levels and paths of investing-ation.	<u>Allows students freedom to define an experiment and provides students with the means to accomplish tasks using a variety of means</u> ; provides access to multiple resources; uses collaborative approach.	<u>Provides at least one alternative way for students to find answers to questions</u> ; employs cooperative learning to the exclusion of collaborative learning; deemphasizes knowing merely by referring to authorities.	<u>Provides only one limited way for students to conduct inquiry</u> ; provides detailed directions about how to conduct investigation; lesson rather prescriptive.	<u>Shows essentially no consideration for students finding answers to questions</u> ; lessen entirely expository; student questions seem not to matter; no evident concern for students conducting any form of inquiry.	
<u>Subtotal:</u>					

NOTE: If you score less than 4 on any component, be certain to include one or more suggestions for improvement for that component.

Comments (reference component numbers):

					<u>Subtotal from previous page:</u>
(Component 5) is a mentor and guide, giving as little direction as possible.	<u>A guide on the side rather than a sage on the stage</u> ; when asked a question by students, responds with a question or generates a discussion with other students; avoids appeal to authority or serving as an authority figure.	<u>Slightly more of a guide on the stage than a sage on the stage</u> ; clearly should give the students “more space” for inquiry, rarely but sometimes appeals to authority to teach.	<u>Slightly more of a stage on the stage than a guide on the side</u> ; clearly should give the students “more space” for inquiry, but seems uncertain about how to do it.	<u>A sage on the stage, period</u> ; actions consistent with didactic/expository teaching; rather proscriptive; student inquiry appears to be irrelevant; strong emphasis on instructions or handouts.	
(Component 6) promotes an active quest for new information and ideas.	<u>Consistently teaches science lesson using active inquiry</u> ; more a source of questions and resources than answers; encourages students to play the role of the scientist; provides hands-on or minds-on activities; refuses to serve as an authority figure.	<u>Pretty regularly teaches science lesson as active inquiry</u> ; a mix of inquiry and expository teaching approaches with more emphasis on inquiry than exposition; moderate use by group of hands-on or minds-on activities; an authority figure in a limited sense.	<u>Weakly teaches science lesson as active inquiry</u> ; very limited use of hands-on or minds-on activities; dominates the use or explanation of any equipment or demonstration, but might use a student assistant; more of an authority figure than necessary.	<u>Does not teach science as active inquiry</u> ; students not at all involved with hands-on or minds-on activities; students not required to construct knowledge from experience; teacher sees students’ minds as passive receptacles to be filled with information.	
(Component 7) maintains a classroom atmosphere conducive to the inquiry process.	<u>Requires total student participation in inquiry</u> ; speaks about the inquiry process; places emphasis on learning; engages students via interest in inquiry; responds appropriately to what students contribute to lesson; authoritative classroom atmosphere; inclusive environment; students regularly on task;	<u>Positively encourages inquiry and gets a fairly consistent student response</u> ; speaks about the inquiry process; places emphasis on learning; engages students via interest in inquiry; responds appropriately to what students contribute to lesson; authoritative classroom atmosphere; inclusive environment; students regularly on task	<u>Positively encourages inquiry but receives less than adequate student participation</u> ; responds affirmatively only to “correct” student responses; asks follow-up questions only if student have the correct answer; shows a slight amount of bias toward one or more subgroups of students; significant deviation from complete and total engagement; students some times off task.	<u>At best, provides lip service to inquiry processes in which students are not engaged</u> ; rarely or never responds affirmatively to any student’s response; responds negatively to students’ requests to conduct some form of inquiry; authoritarian, permissive, or <i>liaise faire</i> form of classroom management; students basically unengaged.	
(Component 8) places emphasis on “How do I know the material of this course?”	<u>Closure shows concern for students understanding, not merely “knowing,” the content of the lesson</u> ; clear student summarization about what was learned and how it was learned; conclusions are evidence based; appears to understand the distinction between knowledge and belief and acts on it; uses an epistemological approach.	<u>Closure a summary of what students should know and how they should know it</u> ; clear teacher summarization about what was learned and how it was learned; conclusions are evidence based; appears to understand the distinction between knowledge and belief and acts on it; uses a weak epistemological approach.	<u>Closure somewhat of an imbalance between inquiry and exposition</u> (too much one way or another between emphasizing what is know and how it is known); weak or insufficient effort made to summarize what was learned and how it became known; closure merely a summary of what students should know; no epistemological approach.	<u>Very prescriptive closure, “This is what you should know”</u> ; is clearly more concerned about what students know than how they know it; too much emphasis on content, and not enough emphasis on process; essentially no closure in the lesson; seems to be unaware of the epistemological side of science knowledge.	
					<u>Total:</u>

Comments (reference component numbers):